

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address (COMMISSE NERCOF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 673,105	10:10:2000	Armin Labatzke	P00.1774	7683
75	90 09 11 2002			
Schiff Hardin & Waite			EXAMINER	
Patent Department 7100 Sears Tower			NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
Chicago, IL 60606-6473			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	

DATE MAILED: 09/11.2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/673,105

Labatzke

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Tuyen T. Nguyen

Art Unit 2832



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover s	heet with	the correspondence address
Period [•]	for Reply			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE _	3	MONTH(S) FROM
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). added of this communication.	. In no event, however,	may a reply	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the part of t	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, causely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ply and will expire SIX (ise the application to be	6) MONTHS	from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status				
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 4,	2001		
2a) .	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This	action is non-fina	al.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex</i>	·		·
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 16</u>			is/are pending in the application.
2	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>16</u>			is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.
6) X	Claim(s) 1-12			is/are rejected.
7).	Claim(s)			
8)	Claims			
Applica	ition Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/s		ted or b	objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	•		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office a	iction.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) X	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 3	35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🕽	(All b) Some* c) None of:			
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents h	have been receiv	ed.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	have been receiv	red in Ap	plication No
	? Copies of the servified copies of the priority		المطفعلا با	proposed to the Matrix of Strain
٠ نم	uu between $v\in V$ at $uv\in U$. Because $uv\in V$ entropy and $vv\in V$ and $vv\in$		 pies not	received
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes			
a)	The translation of the foreign language provision	onal application I	has been	received.
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	stic priority unde	r 35 U.S	.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm	ent(s)			
. X N	itice of Hatereni as filted (PTD nRV)	1 commence	ourrman, 20	11's Paper No.s
	office of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Beview (PTO 948)	5 Notice of I	nformal Pate	nt Application PTO 152
3. X Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) .PTO-1449; Paper No.s; 6	6 Other.		

Application/Control Number: 09/673,105 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Claim 16 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being

drawn to a nonelected claimed method, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant

timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 10.

2. Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. The

traversal is on the ground(s) that the manufacturing process is not distinct from the apparatus. This

is not found persuasive because the method steps clearly involve processes not necessary for the

manufacture of the apparatus.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

· Carrie

Regarding claim 1, lines 6-7, applicant should clarify what structure is intended by "does not

proceed." In lines 8-9, applicant should clarify the structure of the "conductive connections between

Art Unit: 2832

said metallized surfaces and said contact surfaces." Applicant should clarify whether the metallized surfaces are also contact surfaces. Claims 2-12 inherit the defects of the parent claim.

Page 3

Regarding claim 3, applicant should clarify the intended structure of "the surfaces not proceeding parallel to the common plane..." There is no antecedent basis for "the surfaces."

Regarding claim 4, line 3, the phrase "proceeding at an angle" is unclear.

Regarding claim 6, applicant should clarify the arrangement and locations of the "depressions."

Regarding claim 10, line 3, it is unclear whether applicant intends the contact surfaces to be the same contact surfaces as those recited in claim 1. In line 4, the phrase "whereby" is unclear because it has been held that the functional "whereby" statement does not define any structure and accordingly can not serve to distinguish. *In re Mason*, 114 USPQ 127, 44 CCPA 937 (1957). In lines 5-7, applicant should clarify the co-planarity, spacing and heights of the contact surfaces relative to each other. The intended structure is unclear.

Regarding claim 11, line 3, it is unclear what applicant intended by "proceeding parallel to." Applicant should clarify the structure and arrangement of the "conical frustum" and its relationship to components/surfaces of the carrier element.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action.

Art Unit: 2832

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1, 3-4, 10, as best understood in view of the rejection under 112 second paragraph, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gogal [US 4,288,841].

Gogal discloses a ceramic carrier member [100] comprising:

- at least two walls [see figure 2] connected with a common plane;
- an electronic element [25] disposed within the carrier member;
- at least two metallized contact surfaces [106] electrically insulated from one another and disposed on the common plane of the carrier member;
- further metallized surfaces [106] disposed on at least one surface of the carrier member perpendicular with the common plane;
 - channels/grooves [103]; and
- conductive connections between the metallized contact surfaces and the further metallized surfaces [see figure 1].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2832

8. Claims 2, 5-9 and 11-12, as best understood in view of the rejection under 112 second

Page 5

paragraph, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gogal in view of Machado

[US 6,225,560].

Gogal discloses the instant claimed invention except for the channels/grooves not being

metallized, the electronic component being an inductor and leads of the electronic component being

connected with the further metallized surfaces.

Machado discloses a carrier member for supporting an inductor connected to terminal

portions mounted on external walls of the carrier and including a plurality of non-metallized

channels [see figure 6] extending perpendicular to a planar surface thereof.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention

was made to use carrier design of Machado for the carrier member of Gogal for the purpose of

facilitating mounting.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Tuyen T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0821.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

before the final office action, if the response is after final office action the fax number is (703)872-

9319.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2832

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to status of this application of proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

TTN TIN

September 9, 2002

Trugla T. Ngrugla